Canadian parents’ attitudes and beliefs about bicycle helmet legislation in provinces with and without legislation
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Abstract

Introduction: The objective of this study was to survey Canadian parents on their attitudes and beliefs about bicycle helmet legislation and to compare responses from parents living in provinces with and without legislation.

Methods: A national survey of 1002 parents of children aged under 18 years was conducted. Chi-square tests were used to compare responses from the surveyed parents in the different jurisdictions.

Results: Responses from parents living in provinces with legislation (n = 640) and without legislation (n = 362) were as follows: concern for injury (63% vs. 68%, nonsignificant [NS]); believe helmets are effective (98% vs. 98%, NS); child always wears a helmet (74% vs. 69%, NS); support legislation for children (95% vs. 83%, \( p < .001 \)); support legislation for all ages (85% vs. 75%, \( p < .001 \)); support police enforcement (83% vs. 76%, \( p = .003 \)); believe legislation decreases the amount of time their child bicycles (5% vs. 8%, NS).

Conclusion: Parents are highly supportive of bicycle helmet legislation in Canada. They believe that bicycle helmets are effective and that legislation does not decrease the amount of time a child spends bicycling. There was also a high level of support for legislation across all ages, and for police enforcement.
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Introduction

Systematic reviews have shown that wearing bicycle helmets reduces the risk of head, brain and facial injuries and that helmet legislation increases helmet use and decreases head injury rates. Many jurisdictions in Canada (6 out of 10 provinces) have legislated helmet use, and some municipalities have adopted more rigorous and universal legislation.

Despite the supporting evidence, debate about the advantages of helmet use and helmet legislation continues. This debate has not, however, included a societal perspective.

The objective of our study was to survey Canadian parents about their attitudes and beliefs towards bicycle helmet legislation and to compare responses from parents living in provinces with and without legislation.

Methods

We designed our survey to examine several currently debated issues from the perspective of Canadian parents. The questions related to parents’ perceptions of the effectiveness of bicycle helmets, their support for bicycle helmet legislation and enforcement and their perceptions of the effect of legislation on bicycle use. Additional demographic questions included age and sex of their child, age and education of the responding parent, family income and the province where the family lived. The survey was conducted from 1 February 2010 to 5 February 2010. The sampling frame was Canadian adults aged 18 years and over who were members of the LegerWeb online panel. This national online panel, which is used to conduct over 1000 surveys per year in Canada, consists of about 345 000 members, with between 10 000 and 20 000 new members added each month and a retention rate of 90%. Invitations to new panelists are made to ensure representativeness of the entire adult population in Canada by sex, age, income and region. To enhance participation, respondents are entered into monthly draws for prizes. For this study, panel members with children under the age of 18 years were randomly selected to receive an email invitation to the survey.

A sample size of 1000 was sufficient to determine the single proportion of all respondents supporting legislation with a
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margin of error of ± 3% with 95% confidence and to provide 90% power to detect a difference of 10% between respondents living in provinces with and without legislation. We used descriptive statistics to describe responses from the entire survey population and chi-square tests to compare responses from those living in provinces with and without legislation. We used Bonferroni correction to account for multiple comparisons (adjusted \( p < .004 \) considered significant). We also conducted an exploratory analysis of the responses of those living in provinces with all-ages bicycle helmet legislation and those living in provinces with child-only legislation.

Ethics approval for the study was given by the Hospital for Sick Children Research Ethics Board.

Results

Of 1128 parents invited to join the survey, 1002 responded (89% response rate), 640 from provinces with legislation (155 with all-ages legislation and 485 with child-only legislation) and 362 from provinces without. Only 3.6% of respondents indicated that their child or children had ever had a bicycle injury requiring medical attention. The characteristics of the parent respondents and their children are shown in Table 1. The proportion of respondents with a household income between $50 000 and $125 000 (53%, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 50%–56%) is similar to the national census for family income (51%). The proportion of respondents who attained a university education (50%, 95% CI: 47%–53%) is higher than the national census for adults aged 25 to 64 years (23%). The proportion of respondents by province was similar to population density by province according to national census data.

Responses to various issues from parents living in provinces with and without legislation, respectively, were as follows: concern about injury (63% vs. 68%, non-significant [NS]); believe helmets are effective (98% vs. 98%, NS); child always wears a helmet (74% vs. 69%, NS); support child-only legislation (95% vs. 83%, \( p < .001 \)); support all-ages legislation (85% vs. 75%, \( p < .001 \)); support police enforcement (83% vs. 76%, \( p = .003 \)); believe legislation decreases the amount of time their child bicycles (5% vs. 8%, NS).

Responses from parents living in provinces with all-ages legislation and child-only legislation, respectively, were as follows: concern about injury (68% vs. 73%...
believe helmets are effective (96% vs. 99%); child always wears a helmet (77% vs. 73%); support child-only legislation (97% vs. 94%); support all-ages legislation (91% vs. 84%); support police enforcement (89% vs. 82%); believe legislation decreases the amount of time their child bicycles (6% vs. 5%). None of these comparisons were statistically significant (at the p < .004 level).

Discussion

This national sample of Canadian parents living in provinces with and without bicycle helmet legislation has shown that many parents believe that bicycle helmets are effective and that legislation does not decrease the amount of time a child spends bicycling; there was also a high level of support for legislation across all ages and for police enforcement of this legislation.

An earlier survey, conducted in a Canadian city in 1991 prior to legislation, demonstrated 80% support for legislation.10 This is similar to the rate of support that we found among parents living in provinces without legislation. The current 93% rate of support from parents living in provinces with legislation indicates a substantial increase over the past two decades.

Four of the 10 Canadian provinces (British Columbia, New Brunswick, Prince Edward Island and Nova Scotia) have all-ages helmet legislation; Alberta and Ontario have legislation for bicyclists aged less than 18 years; and the remaining provinces (Saskatchewan, Manitoba, Quebec, Newfoundland and Labrador) and the three territories (Yukon, Northwest Territories and Nunavut) have no legislation. This variety provides information for a natural experiment examining helmet use and beliefs. A recent analysis of data from the Canadian Community Health Survey found that self-reported bicycle helmet use in youth (12–18 years) increases as helmet legislation becomes more comprehensive: 33% in provinces with no legislation; 47% in provinces with child-only legislation; and 78% in provinces with all-ages legislation.11 In our predominately pre-adolescent age group (86% were under the age of 10 years), comprehensiveness of the legislation was associated with parent-reported support of legislation (both child-only and all-ages) and police enforcement, but not with parent-reported child helmet use rates.

Ontario, one of the two provinces with child-only legislation, has debated whether to introduce all-ages legislation. In June 2012, the Office of the Chief Coroner12 reported on their review of all 129 cycling deaths in Ontario between 2006 and 2010. Of these, 15% were aged 19 years or less and only 27% were wearing a helmet. The report recommended amending the Highway Traffic Act to make helmets mandatory for cyclists of all ages.12 The results of our survey suggest that parents would strongly support this recommendation.

The ongoing debate about the potential benefit and harm of bicycle helmet legislation includes a concern that “…enforced laws discourage cycling, increasing the costs to society of obesity and lack of exercise and reducing overall safety of cycling…”13,15,16 However, direct observations of bicycling children in one Canadian city yearly between 1993 and 1999 found that the introduction of helmet legislation did not significantly affect the numbers of hours that children cycled.14 In addition, our survey found that only 5% of parents who lived in a province with bicycle helmet legislation reported that this legislation decreased the amount of time their child cycled. Together, these studies of directly observed and parent-reported child behaviours suggest that legislation has promoted safety without reducing physical activity.

Conclusions

Parents of Canadian children are highly supportive of bicycle helmet legislation. This information provides a societal perspective, which may inform the current debate and may be useful for public health, knowledge translation professionals and policy makers in Canada and other countries.
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