



# Social and ecological impacts of the exponential increase of urban sprawl in Montréal

Jaeger JAG<sup>1\*</sup>, Nazarnia N<sup>1</sup>

## Affiliation

<sup>1</sup> Department of Geography, Planning and Environment, Concordia University, Montréal, QC

\*Correspondence: [jochen.jaeger@concordia.ca](mailto:jochen.jaeger@concordia.ca)

**Suggested citation:** Jaeger JAG, Nazarnia N. Social and ecological impacts of the exponential increase of urban sprawl in Montréal. *Can Comm Dis Rep* 2016;42:207-8.

## Background

Current trends in land uptake for built-up areas clearly contradict the spirit and the principles of sustainability in many places in the world. There is considerable debate about the definition of “urban sprawl” but most authors agree that urban sprawl can be perceived visually in a landscape and that the degree of sprawl is higher when: a) more area is built up; b) the buildings are more dispersed in the landscape; and c) the land uptake per person is higher (1).

## Objective

To summarize the social and ecological impacts of urban sprawl, explain a novel way to measure urban sprawl, and to summarize the degree of urban sprawl in Switzerland (1935–2010) and in Montréal (1951–2011) and to compare the degree of sprawl in Europe (2006–2009) and two cities in Canada (Montréal and Québec City).

## Narrative

Urban sprawl has a number of ecological and social effects, including effects on land cover, local climate (e.g. the urban heat island effect), water, flora and fauna, as well as greater segregation based on income, longer commuting times and lower social interaction (2). Urban sprawl also affects micro-climate and habitat availability for vector and host species and vector capacity (3). For example, mosquitoes’ and ticks’ growth, survival and behaviour are very sensitive to environmental temperatures. In temperate climates, urban heat island effects can increase vector development rates, but can also countervail survival and feeding behaviour (3). Urban conditions such as habitat suitability and local temperature regimes, and the heterogeneity of urban landscapes can influence the five components of vector capacity: vector density, survival rate, biting rate, extrinsic incubation period and vector competence. How urban conditions influence transmission processes is an important direction for future research (3).

We used a novel method, weighted urban proliferation (*WUP*), to quantify urban sprawl and its increase in Switzerland (since 1935, scenarios for 2050) (1), in Europe overall (2006–2009) (4), and in two cities in Canada (Montréal and Québec City) (5). In Montréal,

urban sprawl has increased exponentially since 1951. In both Montréal and Québec City, urban sprawl has become a serious problem since the late 1980s, increasing as it has at a rate faster than ever before (5,6).

Without rigorous measures, urban sprawl will continue to increase, but there are cases that demonstrate that sprawl can be reduced. For example, as a consequence of intense public discussion, the *Swiss Spatial Planning Act* was revised in 2013 to make it stricter (1). Quantitative data on urban sprawl are useful for monitoring what is happening, for comparing with alternative planning scenarios and for establishing targets and limits similar to those in other environmental sectors. For example, Hennig et al. (4) proposed a de-sprawling strategy for Europe and the *WUP* method has recently been implemented in Switzerland’s landscape monitoring system (7). The Alternative Bank of Switzerland is using the *WUP* method to avoid approving mortgages for projects that would strongly contribute to urban sprawl (8).

## Conclusion

The rate at which urban sprawl has increased in Montréal over the last 25 years is faster than ever before. In both Montréal and Québec City, “urban sprawl has gotten out of control and has turned into a serious and fast growing problem” (5). The exponential acceleration of sprawl is a direct contradiction to controlled and sustainable urban development, and better integration of transport planning and urban planning is urgently needed. In order to curtail urban sprawl, the Quebec transportation ministry (Ministère des Transports, de la Mobilité durable et de l’Électrification des transports) and the City of Montréal need to work together to better coordinate policy and planning. Also at issue is how control can be redistributed among the different levels of government: the municipal authorities rely on land development to maintain and increase their tax base, and the regional government (i.e. the Communauté Métropolitaine de Montréal) has insufficient power to limit sprawl (9).

Further sprawl could be avoided if appropriate regulations and incentives are created, for example, by establishing quantitative limits (2). Learning from the experiences of other countries can help avoid repeating mistakes, for example, by reducing funding of unsustainable urban development. To address the effects of



climate change on cities, Montréal city planners and residents are now working towards enhancing the connectedness of natural areas in the city (6,10). This could help reduce the urban heat island effect and help keep the density of vector and host species within a reasonable range through the dynamic balancing that takes place in ecological communities (i.e. predator–prey relationships of food webs within a network of natural areas rather than isolated patches).

## Conflict of interest

None.

## References

1. Jaeger JAG, Schwick C. Improving the measurement of urban sprawl: Weighted Urban Proliferation (*WUP*) and its application to Switzerland. *Ecol Indic* 2014;38:294-308.
2. European Environment Agency (EEA) & Swiss Federal Office for the Environment (FOEN). [Urban sprawl in Europe. Joint EEA-FOEN report. EEA Report 11/2016](#); ISBN 978-92-9213-738-0. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union; 2016. <http://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/urban-sprawl-in-europe>.
3. LaDeau SL, Allan BF, Leishnam PT, Levy MZ. The ecological foundations of transmission potential and vector-borne disease in urban landscapes. *Functional Ecology* 2015; 29, 889-901.
4. Hennig EI, Schwick C, Soukup T, Orlitová E, Kienast F, Jaeger JAG. Multi-scale analysis of urban sprawl in Europe: towards a European de-sprawling strategy. *Land Use Policy* 2015;49 483-98.
5. Nazarnia N, Schwick C, Jaeger JAG. Accelerated urban sprawl in Montréal, Quebec City, and Zurich: Investigating the differences using time series 1951–2011. *Ecol Indic* 2016;60:1229-51.
6. Dupras J, Marull J, Parcerisas L, Coll F, Gonzalez A, Girard M et al. The impacts of urban sprawl on ecological connectivity in the Montréal Metropolitan Region. *Environ Sci Policy* 2016;58:61-73.
7. Kienast F, Frick J, van Strien MJ, Hunziker M. The Swiss Landscape Monitoring Program — a comprehensive indicator set to measure landscape change. *Ecol Modell* 2015;295:136-50.
8. Alternative Bank of Switzerland (ABS). [La Banque Alternative Suisse engage la lutte contre le mitage](#) [press release]. 2012 June 18. [https://www.abs.ch/fileadmin/absch/21\\_Medienmitteilungen/FR/2012\\_06\\_18\\_CP\\_BAS\\_engage\\_lutte\\_contre\\_mitage.pdf](https://www.abs.ch/fileadmin/absch/21_Medienmitteilungen/FR/2012_06_18_CP_BAS_engage_lutte_contre_mitage.pdf).
9. Luka N. [Emerging challenges of vector-borne diseases for Canadian cities](#). *Can Comm Dis Rep* 2016;42:217-8. <http://www.phac-aspc.gc.ca/publicat/ccdr-rmtc/16vol42/dr-rm42-10/ar-12-eng.php>.
10. Dupras J, Drouin C, André P, Gonzalez A. Towards the establishment of a green infrastructure in the region of Montréal (Quebec, Canada). *Plan Pract Res* 2015;30(4):355-75.